Cooper Companies, Inc.

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.
Read full terms of disclosure

09/17/2025, 03:04 pm

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☑ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☑ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

The Cooper Companies, Inc. (Cooper, we or the Company), is a global medical device company with a mission to improve lives one person at a time. We partner with health care providers worldwide to improve patient outcomes and deliver practice-building resources and training. By listening closely to the healthcare providers and patients, we fulfill the needs of today while focusing on the opportunities of tomorrow through innovation and strategic investment. Cooper operates through two business segments, CooperVision and CooperSurgical. Our two business segments elevate standards of care with products and services in the fields of vision, fertility and women's health. For financial information relating to these business segments, refer to Note 12. Business Segment Information in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report. CooperVision is a global manufacturer providing products for contact lens wearers. CooperVision develops, manufactures and markets a broad range of single-use, two-week and monthly contact lenses, featuring advanced materials and optics. CooperVision designs its products to address vision challenges such as astigmatism, presbyopia and myopia with a broad collection of spherical, toric and multifocal contact lenses. CooperVision offers contact lenses in materials like silicone hydrogel Aquaform technology. CooperVision also manufactures and markets myopia management products, including the internally developed MiSight 1 day lens, as well as other specialty eyecare products such as orthokeratology (ortho-k) and scleral lenses. In November 2019, the MiSight 1 day lens became the first and only product approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for slowing the progression of myopia in children aged 8-12 at the initiation of treatment, and in August 2021, CooperVision received Chinese National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) approval for use of the MiSight 1 day lens in China. CooperVision's major manufacturing and distribution facilities are located in Belgium,

Costa Rica, Hungary, Puerto Rico, the United Kingdom and the United States, with other smaller facilities in multiple locations around the world. CooperSurgical offers a broad array of products and services focused on fertility and women's health. We categorize CooperSurgical product sales based on the point of health care delivery, which includes: products used in medical offices, ambulatory surgical centers and hospitals primarily by Obstetricians/Gynecologists (OB/GYN); and fertility products and services used primarily in fertility clinics. Our portfolio encompasses more than 600 products and services. Our medical devices are used in gynecology and obstetrics, including but not limited to contraception and labor and delivery as well as cord blood and cord storage services. Our fertility portfolio encompasses medical devices supporting the in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycle, egg and sperm donation, cryopreservation, and genomic services (including genetic testing). CooperSurgical has established its market presence and distribution system by developing products and acquiring companies, products and services that complement its business model. CooperSurgical's major manufacturing, cryostorage and distribution facilities are located in Costa Rica, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and the United States, with other smaller facilities in multiple locations around the world.
[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2024

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:
☑ No

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:
☑ 2 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 2 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ Not providing past emissions data for Scope 3

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

3895400000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US2166485019

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

216648501

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

COO

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

024187130

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Malaysia
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ Netherlands
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Colombia
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: ☑ Yes, for some facilities	*Full list not available at this time.*

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

1-35 Tenya-machi Hakata-ku, Fukuoka, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.59621

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

130.410614

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Unit 1 & 2, 115 Hayward Avenue, Torrensville, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-34.912857

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

138.556213

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

42 Corporate Drive, Heatherton, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-37.949066

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

145.081884

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Unit 3, 18 Powers Road, Cash, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.77394

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

150.942263

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Suites 2.01 & 2.03, Forest Quarter, 26 Rodborough Road, Frenchs Forest, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.755852

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

151.248566

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Proefhoevestraat 12, Melle, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.980466

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

3.820515

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Parc Industriel des Hauts-Sarts, Premiere Avenue 32, Units G, H, L, M, N, O & Q and Premiere Avenue 32Q, Liege, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.690849

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.61813

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Parc Industriel des Hauts-Sarts, Premiere Avenue 32, Units G, H, L, M, N, O, Liege, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.690388

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.61799

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Rua Major Paladino, 128, Galpão 20, Sao Paulo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.523322

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.738058

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Avenida Marques de São Vicente 182 Conj 143 e 144, Sao Paulo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.521967

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.66337

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

200 Britannia Road East, Unit 1, Mississauga, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.630656

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.674128

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

216 Britannia Road East, Mississauga, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.630656

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.674128

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

45 Vogell Road, Suite 101, Richmond Hill, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.864982

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.378811

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

1552 King Street West, Sherbrooke, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.398514

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.91423

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

585 University Avenue, Toronto, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.657483

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.390658

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

1620 Tech Ave Unit #1, Mississauga, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.639498

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.618336

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

585 University Avenue, Toronto, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.658725

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.389238

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Calle Nueva 1564, Huechuraba, Chile

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.36337

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-70.676204

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 19

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Las Tranqueras 117, Office 202-B, Las Condes, Chile

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.394852

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-70.554123

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Yue Da889 Center, Room 15F03-06A, 1111 Chang Shou Road, Jing An District, Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.23897

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.434273

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

East Building 1,No.386 Ban Ting Road, JiuTing Zhen, SongJiang District, Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.135813

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.327289

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Suite 1508 No 889 Wanhangdu Road, JingAn District, Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.230032

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.427988

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Yue Da 889 Center, RoomL1205,1111 Chang Shou Road, Jing An district, Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.229682

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.427989

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Calle 110 # 9 - 25, piso 7 PH, Bogota, Colombia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

4.690708

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.039023

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Office No. 714, seventh floor, Pacific P.H. Business Tower, 110th Street No. 9-25, Bogota, Colombia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

4.690644

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.038876

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Revolucní 724/7, Praha 1,, Czech Republic

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.090462

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.427639

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lasbygade 2, sal 1 6000, Kolding, Denmark

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.49174

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.471548

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 28

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lautrupvang 2, 275, Ballerup, Denmark

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.731164

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

12.389289

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 29

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hahnstraße 70, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.079629

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.633772

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 30

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

93 rue des Chantiers, Versailles, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.792122

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.14545

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

8 rue d'Artois, Paris, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.872509

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.30862

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Les 2 Acres, Bat A & B, 1800 Routes Des Cretes, Sophia Antipolis Cedex, Valbonne, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.62793

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.04317

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Siemensstrausse 3, Eppertshausen, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.947479

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.83954

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 34

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Leibnizstr. 32, 10625 Berlin, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.507339

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

13.31439

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Leibnizstrae 32, Berlin, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.507339

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

13.31439

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Heinrich-Hertz-Straße 1, Berlin, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.869021

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.628426

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Unit No.05-06, 18th Floor, No.909 Cheung Sha Wan Road, Kow loon, Hong Kong

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.33308

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.191223

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Buildings DC3A & DC3B, Pro Logis Park, Gyál, Hungary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.363781

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.189659

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

(Red Building) VGP Park Aerozone, Hrsz:3621/7, Régész street 1., Üllő, Hungary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.408795

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.313095

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 40

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

(yellow Building) VGP Park Aerozone, Hrsz:3621/7, Régész street 1.. Üllő, Hungary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.408795

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.313095

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 41

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

01 D, Tower B, Global Business Park, Near Guru Dronacharya Metro Station, Gurgaon, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.481198

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.102209

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 42

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

516, Anand Mangal 3, Near Core House, Abmawadi, Ahmedabad, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.022865

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

72.527679

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

C-401, Delphi, Hirananandani Business Park, Powai, Mumbai, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.123512

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

72.909485

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 44

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Unit Nos. 01A, 01B, 01C, 01D, Ground Floor, Tower B, Global Business Park, MG Road, Gurgaon, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.47761

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.069473

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 45

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bar Lev House, Ba Lev Industrial Zone, Mate Asher, Israel

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.908845

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

35.183554

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 46

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bar Lev Industrial Zone, Misgav, Israel

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.908845

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

35.183554

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 47

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bar-Lev Industrial Park, Misgav, Israel

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.90885

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

35.183632

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 48

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Ma'ale Kamon 43, Karmiel, Israel

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.921663

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

35.329425

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 49

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lainate (MI), Via Lepetit, 8, Italy

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.56245

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.02726

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 50

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Avenue Shakespeare No 47 Int 2a, Rome, Italy

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.83004

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

12.47296

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 51

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

6-3-14 Nishinakajima, Yodogawa-ku, Osaka-Shi, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.729433

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

135.497315

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 52

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

11 Nihon-Odori, Naka-ku, Yokohama, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.446353

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.642975

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 53

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

13-11 Nobori-cho, Naka-ku, Hiroshima, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.394676

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

132.466003

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 54

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Building No. 310, room 310 75-1 Ono-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama City, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.467361

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.628799

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 55

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

5-1-1 Hirai, Edogawa-ku, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.703175

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.837555

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 56

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ArkHills South Tower 12F, 1-4-5, Roppongi, Minato, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.665608

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.739416

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 57

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

10th Floor, Suseo Office Bldg., 281, Gwangpyeong-ro, Gangnam gu, Republic of Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.488117

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

127.103065

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 58

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

#101-206-1 Hwasung Parkdream, 51 Dongan-dong 2-ga, Joong-gu, Republic of Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.870084

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

128.602223

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 59

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

#1211 Cubi E-Centum, 1212 Jaesong-dong, Haeundae, Republic of Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.175632

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

129.125937

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 60

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

#210 Maison de Sei 12-28, Techno 1-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.42945

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

127.387385

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 61

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

#416 Sangmoodaerasoo officetel, 1230 Chipyeong-dong, Seo-gu, Gwangju-si, Republic of Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.153978

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

126.85202

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 62

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Ste No. 50-1-11-13 Level 1 Wisma UOA Damasara Heights No. 50 Jalan Dungan, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

3.14859

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

101.659302

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 63

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Av. Prolongación Paseo de la Reforma No. 1236, Col. Santa Fe, Deleg. Alvaro Obregon, Mexico City, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.357601

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.275391

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 64

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Avelingen-West 5, Gorinchem, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.8358

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.9394

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 65

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Kollergang 9 in Eerbreek, number C, section 2718, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.097752

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.085125

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 66

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Celsiusweg 35, Venlo, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.38966

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.13526

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 67

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Celsiusweg 36, Venlo, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.38966

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.13526

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 68

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Celsiusweg 36, Venlo, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.38966

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.13526

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 69

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Vijzelweg 18b, Waalwijk, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.69757

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.042759

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 70

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Warsaw, Spaces Platinum, Domaniewska 44, 02-672, Warsaw, Poland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.184357

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

20.999791

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 71

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

500 CARR 584 Lote 24; Reparto Industrial Reparada, Ponce, Puerto Rico, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

17.992903

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.633121

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 72

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

500 CARR 584 Lote 7, Ponce, Puerto Rico, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

17.992903

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.633121

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 73

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Amuelas Industrial Park. Lot 4,5,6 & Lot 21,23,24,25, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 74

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Amuelas Industrial Park. S-1501-5-19-00, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 75

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juana Diaz Building – 500 Road 584, Amuelas Industrial Park, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 76

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juana Diaz Building - 500 Road 584, Amuelas Industrial Park, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico (Lot 11 West Wing), USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 77

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juana Diaz Building – 500 Road 584, Amuelas Industrial Park, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico (Lot 16 & 19), USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 78

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juana Diaz Building – 500 Road 584, Amuelas Industrial Park, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico (Technical Building), USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 79

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lot 19&19-1 Amuelas Industrial Park, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 80

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juana Diaz Building – 500 Road 584, Amuelas Industrial Park, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico (Lot 7 Expansion), USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 81

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Juana Diaz Building – 500 Road 584, Amuelas Industrial Park, Juana Diaz, Puerto Rico (Lots 14 & 15 Phase E), USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502105

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 82

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

27, Stara Zagora St, Samara, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.225171

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

50.200138

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 83

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Construction 2, No. 6, Presnenskaya Embankment, City of Moscow, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.749245

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

37.539514

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 84

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Sinopskaya 52, Liter A, Sankt-Peterburg, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

59.937877

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

30.386176

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 85

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

157, pom.III, floor 1, Uritskogo street, Voronezh, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.678981

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

39.185749

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 86

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

165, Svetlanskaya St., Vladivostock, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.11279

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

131.922227

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 87

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

295/13,office 8, Bajkal'skaya St, Irkutsk, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.257879

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

104.361162

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 88

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

23a, off 1005, 2nd Floor, Kyzyl Armeyskaya st., Kazan, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.80103

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

49.062245

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 89

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

2P, off 506, Menzhunskogo St, Rostov, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.25579

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

39.763014

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 90

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

6 Ippodromnaya str., bld.14, Perm, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

57.966173

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

56.142699

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 91

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

62/2, Krasnoarmeyskaya St, Krasnodar, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.027001

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

38.973583

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 92

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Grushevskaya Ulitsa, 8, Volgograd, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.694331

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

44.501806

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 93

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

floor 1 off. P1, bld. 40, Genkinoi st., Nizhniy Novgorod, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

56.314301

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

44.021102

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 94

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Off. 105, Bld. 3/1, the 3d per.Krasheninnikova, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

54.985374

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

82.869942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 95

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Off. 6, Bld.100, Tatishcheva str., Ekaterinburg, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

56.838028

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

60.54087

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 96

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pulkovskoye shosse. 40/4 letter A, Sankt-Peterburg, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

59.819552

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

30.32667

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 97

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

SHOSSE PULKOVSKOE, DOM 40-KORPUS 4, Sankt-Peterburg, Russian Federation

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

59.819079

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

30.325057

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 98

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

1 Tesamek Avenue, #39-01 Millenia Tower, Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.29275

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.860159

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 99

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gosforth Park, Extension 5, comprising of Erven 61, 62 & the road, Portion 615 of the Farm Elandsfontein No. 108, Reg. Div. IR, Province of Gauteng, Germiston, South Africa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-26.236976

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

28.13153

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 100

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Randport Industrial Unit 1, Suzuka Road, Gosforth Park, Germiston, South Africa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-26.23675

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

28.132641

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 101

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Avenida Labradores 1-3rd floor, 28760 Tres Cantos, Madrid, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.598282

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-3.71465

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 102

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Avenida de la Industria, 31, Alcobendas, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.534519

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-3.64997

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 103

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

World Trade Center Calle Moll de Barcelona S/N 0, Suite 305-308, Barcelona, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.371827

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.180985

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 104

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Johan Willins Gate 8, Göteborg, Sweden

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

57.70927

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.9921

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 105

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

7F No 868-2, Zhonghe District, New Taipei, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.005947

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.48436

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 106

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

15F-A, No. 89, Songren Road,Xinyi Distict, Taipei City 11073, Taiwan, R.O.C.

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.037371

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.563553

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 107

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Flora Residence, Kucukbakkalkoy Mahallesi Vedat Gunyol Caddesi, Defne Sokak, No. 1, Kat 9 Daire 909, Istanbul, Turkey

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.98051

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

29.103118

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 108

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Offices 606 Sixth Floor, A/P 26 Phase 1 of DHCC, Dubai, UAE

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.232116

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

55.326587

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 109

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Offices 607, Sixth Floor, A/P 26 Phase 1 of DHCC, Dubai, UAE

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.232116

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

55.326587

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 110

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

School Lane Chandlers Ford, Unit 1, Southampton, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.978443

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.392839

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 111

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Unit 1 Mountpark, Wide Lane, Southampton, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.942661

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.36703

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 112

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

South Point Unit 1, 2, 6, 7 and 8, Ensign Business Park, Ensign Way, Hamble, Southampton, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.8578

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.3274

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 113

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

South Point 3 & 4, Hamble Lane, Southampton, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.85857

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.32538

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 114

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Delta Park, Concorde Way, Segensworth North, Fareham, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.870731

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.24541

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 115

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Clifton House, Unit T2, Brunel Road, Segensworth East, Fareham, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.852718

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.17406

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 116

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bickland Industrial Park, Falmouth TR11 4TA, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.152158

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.101484

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 117

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Unit 2, Highfield Business Park, Sidney Little Road, St. Leonards-on-Sea, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.875072

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

0.528134

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 118

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Unit 5, Warrior Park, Chandlers Ford, Southampton, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.976812

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.39071

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 119

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Portland Bldg, 25 High St., Crawley, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.11333

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.189976

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 120

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

12 Hammersmith Grove, Part Fourth Floor (West), Hammersmith, London, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.49445

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.22565

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 121

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Unit D Marlborough Court, Bennerley Road, Blenheim Industrial Estate, Bulwell, Nottingham, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.006548

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.212165

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 122

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tregoniggie Industrial Estate, Falmouth, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.154419

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.094814

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 123

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

800 South El Camino Real, Suite 201, San Clemente, California, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.422649

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.60936

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 124

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

1935 Armacost Ave, Los Angeles, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.03508

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.45469

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 125

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

5 Corporate Center Court, Suite 300, Greensboro, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.123266

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.794555

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 126

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

15100 Heritage Pkwy, Fort Worth, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.003587

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.292524

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 127

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

6101 Bollinger Canyon Road, San Ramon, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.761917

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.958145

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 128

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

5870 Stoneridge Drive, Ste 1, 3, 4 & 5, Pleasanton, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.690788

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.896637

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 129

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

2120 W Guadalupe Road, Gilbert, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.365013

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.835678

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 130

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Park Plaza Medical Office Building 1200 Binz Street, Houston, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.724087

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.387787

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 131

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

30 Becker Road, West Henrietta, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.043491

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.673294

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 132

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

90 Commerce Drive (suite C), Henrietta, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.081299

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.64547

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 133

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

209 High Point Drive, Ste 100, Victor, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.030121

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.434769

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 134

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

3 Regent Street, Suite 306, Livingston, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.80015

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.35362

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 135

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

5 Regent Street,Livingston, New Jersey, 07039, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.801048

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.352516

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 136

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

2 Willowbrook Circle, Monroe, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.339397

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.251328

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 137

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

500 Highway 584, Lot 7, Amuelas Industrial Park, Juana Diaz, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.045834

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502197

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 138

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Amuelas Industrial Park. Carr.PR-149km.67.1, Juana Diaz, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044556

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502131

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 139

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Carr. PR149, Km. 67.1, building 1 and 2 Amuelas Industrial Park. Carr.PR-149km.67.1 T-0328-0-56-00 on lot L-0-175-0-58-01-0, Juana Diaz, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.044556

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.502131

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 140

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

12559 West Airport, Sugar Land, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.64682

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.599817

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 141

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

9765 San Jose Blvd, Jacksonville, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.199984

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.618419

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 142

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

2232 and 2236 Rutherford Road, Carsbad, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.132168

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.279044

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 143

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

11180 Warner Avenue, Suite 253, Fountain Valley, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.715645

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.933445

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 144

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

11915 La Grange Ave, Los Angeles, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.03475

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.454575

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 145

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

2609 North Duke Street, Suite 618, Durham, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.031465

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.908543

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 146

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

3150 North Elm Street, suite 200, Greensboro, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.122757

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.795587

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 147

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

4294 El Camino Real, Los Altos, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.405627

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.119995

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 148

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

2301 Research Blvd., Suite 305, Rockville, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.103695

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.184516

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 149

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

401 Professional Dr, # 128-130, Gaithersburg, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.161439

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.227803

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 150

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

369 Lexington Ave Suite 401, New York, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.750473

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.976417

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 151

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

75 Corporate Drive, Trumbull, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.29484

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.22502

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 152

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

95 Corporate Drive, Trumbull, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.295622

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.226387

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 153

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

50 Corporate Drive, Trumbull, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.295622

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.226052

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 154

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

225 W. Jefferson Ave., Suite 201, Naperville, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.773467

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.152199

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 155

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

201 Broadway, Suite 220, Cambridge, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.36613

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.092361

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 156

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

511 SW 10th Avenue Suite 810, Portland, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.521186

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.681304

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A

Row 157

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

512 SW 10th Avenue Suite 614, Portland, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.521263

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.681792

(1.8.1.4) Comment

N/A
[Add row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply
☑ Upstream value chain
☑ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

We are in the process of developing a detailed map of our tier 1 suppliers across all divisions. This includes identifying key suppliers, their geographical locations, and their role in our production and distribution processes. The value chain mapping has allowed us to identify potential risks at various stages of our supply chain. We have categorized these risks based on factors such as geopolitical stability, environmental impact, and supplier practices. We have begun integrating this mapping into a software system, which we use to conduct ongoing due diligence and assess the risk profiles of our suppliers.
[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain

(1.24.1.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

☑ End-of-life management

(1.24.1.4) End-of-life management pathways mapped

Select all that apply

☑ Preparation for reuse

☑ Recycling

☑ Waste to Energy

☑ Landfill

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Strategic Planning: In the short term, we focus on immediate and actionable initiatives that support our sustainability goals and compliance with regulatory requirements. Our short-term planning involves budgeting for these initiatives and allocating resources.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.3) To (years)

10

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Strategic Planning: The medium term is where we focus on broader strategic initiatives that support our long-term sustainability vision. Our medium-term planning involves investing in enabling technologies and enhancing value chain resilience.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

10

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Strategic Planning: Long-term planning is centered around our vision for the future, particularly in relation to climate change mitigation and adaptation. Our long-term planning includes scenario analysis to ensure that our business remains resilient over time.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

☑ End of life management

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Every three years or more

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Sub-national

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

International methodologies and standards

☑ IPCC Climate Change Projections

☑ Life Cycle Assessment

Other

☑ External consultants

☑ Materiality assessment

☑ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought

☑ Wildfires

☑ Heat waves

☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress

☑ Ocean acidification

☑ Water stress
☑ Sea level rise
☑ Coastal erosion
☑ Change in land-use

☑ Changing wind patterns
☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
☑ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Policy
☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms
☑ Changes to national legislation

Market
☑ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
☑ Changing customer behavior

Reputation
☑ Impact on human health
☑ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

Technology
☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

Liability
☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply
☑ Customers
☑ Employees
☑ Investors
☑ Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Our organization has a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and impacts, informed by our recently completed double materiality assessment. The double materiality assessment findings are directly linked to our strategic planning.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ As important matters arise

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Local

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

International methodologies and standards

☑ Life Cycle Assessment

Other

☑ External consultants

☑ Materiality assessment
☑ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical
☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
☑ Drought
☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Chronic physical
☑ Coastal erosion
☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
☑ Water stress

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply
☑ Customers
☑ Employees
☑ Investors
☑ Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Our organization has a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and impacts, informed by our water assessment. The water assessment findings provide insights into our influences on water.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

We assess interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities through a systems thinking approach as part of our environmental management process. This helps us understand our environmental footprint, identify causal linkages, and develop strategies that manage risks and uncover opportunities. By taking a holistic view, we can prioritize actions that address multiple issues, such as reducing emissions and improving resource efficiency.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☑ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☑ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Waterfootprint screening project that looked at water intensive materials, water stressed geographies, major facility water usage and identified risks and opportunities.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % decrease

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ 1-10

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Global

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ 1-10

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Global
[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☑ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

Our organization identifies and classifies potential water pollutants associated with our activities that could impact water ecosystems or human health. We fully comply with all legal and statutory environmental health and safety regulations in the jurisdictions where we operate.
[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :jurisdictionally regulated water pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Our organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems and human health through a comprehensive approach. We use water treatment systems, including filtration and chemical neutralization to ensure that our water discharges meet or exceed regulatory standards. Pollution Prevention: We focus on reducing pollutants at the source by optimizing processes. Monitoring of water discharges allows us to ensure compliance with all legal requirements. Our employees receive regular training on best practices for managing water pollutants, ensuring adherence to safety protocols and preventing accidental releases.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☑ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

☑ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements

☑ Requirement for suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements

☑ Upgrading of process equipment/methods

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

We are committed to ongoing water management, regularly updating our practices and striving to exceed regulatory standards.
[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, only within our direct operations

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

We completed a water footprint project in 2023. Water use is significant in the process for only one product family out of 6 similar product families. Additional evaluation is underway.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Italy

☑ Japan

☑ Malta

☑ France

☑ Greece

☑ Latvia

☑ Spain
☑ Cyprus
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Croatia
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovakia
☑ Slovenia
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Estonia
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Australia
☑ Lithuania
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Customers prefer greater transparency regarding the environmental and social impacts of the products they purchase. Failure to provide clear and comprehensive information about the lifecycle, sourcing, and environmental footprint of products could lead to a loss of customer confidence and brand reputation.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Constraint to growth

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect is unknown at this time as the level of measurement uncertainty is too high to quantify potential impacts.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Other policies or plans, please specify :Investment in sustainable product and packaging innovations and efforts to improve circularity.

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Unknown at this time.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Changing customer behavior is driving investment in sustainable product and packaging innovations, as well as efforts to improve circularity.

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Increased pricing of water

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Costa Rica

☑ Hungary

☑ Puerto Rico

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Climate change poses several water-related risks that could have effects on our operations across different geographical locations. Although water is currently readily available at our largest facilities in the UK, Puerto Rico, Costa Rica, Hungary, and US, changing climatic conditions may impact both the availability and quality of water resources in these regions.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect is unknown at this time as the level of measurement uncertainty is too high to quantify potential impacts.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Unknown at this time.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Through water efficiency, recycling, and conservation practices, we aim to enhance our operational resilience and contribute to the long-term sustainability of water resources in the regions where we operate.

Plastics

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Changes to regulation of existing products and services

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ End-of-life management

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- ☑ Italy
- ☑ Malta
- ☑ Spain
- ☑ Cyprus
- ☑ France
- ☑ Belgium
- ☑ Croatia
- ☑ Czechia
- ☑ Denmark
- ☑ Estonia
- ☑ Bulgaria
- ☑ Portugal
- ☑ Slovakia
- ☑ Slovenia
- ☑ Australia
- ☑ Greece
- ☑ Latvia
- ☑ Poland
- ☑ Sweden
- ☑ Austria
- ☑ Finland
- ☑ Germany
- ☑ Hungary
- ☑ Ireland
- ☑ Romania
- ☑ Lithuania
- ☑ Netherlands
- ☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Extended producer responsibility (EPR) regulation in the EU and UK

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☑ Constraint to growth

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect is unknown at this time as the level of measurement uncertainty is too high to quantify potential impacts.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans
☑ Other policies or plans, please specify :Investment in sustainable product and packaging innovations and efforts to improve circularity.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We intend to monitor the evolving regulatory environment and explore opportunities to offset these costs through innovation and moving toward circularity

Plastics

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:
☑ Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Malta
☑ Spain
☑ Cyprus
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Croatia
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovakia
☑ Slovenia
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ France
☑ Greece
☑ Latvia
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Estonia
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Australia
☑ Lithuania
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Changing consumer behavior related to plastics use in the EU, US, UK, and APAC regions may have an impact on our organization, driving both risks and opportunities. Consumers are increasingly aware of the environmental impact of unnecessary plastic packaging and prefer more sustainable options. This shift in consumer preferences is influencing our strategic decisions and business operations.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Constraint to growth

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect is unknown at this time as the level of measurement uncertainty is too high to quantify potential impacts.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Increased use of sustainably sourced materials

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We intend to monitor changes in consumer behaviors and trends and explore opportunities to to invest in sustainable options to meet changing consumer behaviors.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk5

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Drought

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Costa Rica

☑ Puerto Rico

☑ Spain

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Climate change has contributed to increases in drought in some areas of the world. More intense or prolonged droughts can lead to diminishing water resources, increasing operational risk and potentially more severe wildfires. Our global operations face risks from increasing drought conditions due to climate change, impacting several key facilities. In Puerto Rico, facilities are vulnerable to droughts, which could disrupt water supply and operational efficiency. The United Kingdom faces

potential risks from changing climate patterns that could result in water shortages. Costa Rica's facilities face drought risks that could limit water availability for manufacturing. In Spain, changing precipitation patterns may lead to water scarcity.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect is unknown at this time as the level of measurement uncertainty is too high to quantify potential impacts.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

227000000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Property value and business interruption, and an outlook for climate change impacts for those locations in the long term by 2050.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To mitigate the risks associated with drought, we are evaluating a water management strategy that includes investing in water efficiency technologies, enhancing water recycling systems, and securing alternative water sources. These efforts are aimed at reducing the company's dependency on external water supplies and ensuring operational continuity in drought-affected regions.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk6

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Hungary

☑ Spain

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Rising global temperatures and the increasing frequency and intensity of heatwaves pose risks to operations across various locations. These risks include thermal stress on outdoor equipment, increased energy demand for cooling, and potential strain on power grid infrastructure, which can elevate the likelihood of interruption.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect is unknown at this time as the level of measurement uncertainty is too high to quantify potential impacts.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Other policies or plans, please specify :Enhancing infrastructure resilience, energy management, implementing renewable energy sources

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

859000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Property value and business interruption, and an outlook for climate change impacts for those locations in the long term by 2050.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To mitigate the risks posed by rising temperatures, we are enhancing infrastructure resilience, adopting energy management systems to optimize usage, and exploring renewable energy sources to reduce dependency on traditional power grids. These proactive strategies are designed to ensure operational stability, reduce costs, and enhance long-term resilience against climate-related challenges.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk7

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Cyclone, hurricane, typhoon

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Costa Rica

☑ Puerto Rico

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased extreme weather events pose risks to direct operations across various locations. These risks include stress on outdoor equipment, flooding, and potential strain on power grid infrastructure, which can elevate the likelihood of interruption.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Closure of operations

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect is unknown at this time as the level of measurement uncertainty is too high to quantify potential impacts.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:
☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans
☑ Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

809000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Property value and business interruption, and an outlook for climate change impacts for those locations in the long term by 2050.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To mitigate the risks posed by extreme weather events, we are enhancing infrastructure resilience, adopting energy management systems to optimize usage, and exploring renewable energy sources to reduce dependency on traditional power grids. These proactive strategies are designed to ensure operational stability, reduce costs, and enhance long-term resilience against climate-related challenges.
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

280000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 1-10%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

280000000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 31-40%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Largest exposure to changes in climate by 2050, including property value and business interruption across all locations.

Water

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

227000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 1-10%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

227000000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 31-40%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Largest exposure to changes in climate by 2050, including property value and business interruption across all locations
[Add row]

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Other, please specify :Buffalo-San Jacinto (Texas), and San Luis Rey-Escondido (California)

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply
☑ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:
☑ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Unknown at this time.

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☑ No	*N/A*

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☑ Evaluation in progress

(3.6.3) Please explain

In 2023 we completed a water footprint screening project that began to look at impacts and opportunities related to water. Additional evaluation is underway. [Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

☑ Increased brand value

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☑ Italy
- ☑ Japan
- ☑ Malta
- ☑ Spain
- ☑ Cyprus
- ☑ Austria
- ☑ Belgium
- ☑ Croatia
- ☑ Czechia
- ☑ Denmark
- ☑ Romania
- ☑ Bulgaria
- ☑ Portugal
- ☑ Slovakia
- ☑ Slovenia
- ☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- ☑ France
- ☑ Greece
- ☑ Latvia
- ☑ Poland
- ☑ Sweden
- ☑ Estonia
- ☑ Finland
- ☑ Germany
- ☑ Hungary
- ☑ Ireland
- ☑ Australia
- ☑ Lithuania
- ☑ Singapore
- ☑ Netherlands
- ☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Anticipate product opportunities for major markets (US, UK, EU and Japan) in the near term.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Unknown at this time.

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Not disclosed at this time.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:
☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Unknown at this time

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Not disclosed at this point in time.
[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Not Disclosed

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Unknown at this time
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ No

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board Terms of Reference

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Included in the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter: "Environmental and Social Matters. The Committee will periodically review, and provide oversight with respect to, the Company's strategy, initiatives, policies and risks concerning environmental and social matters (with the Organization and Compensation Committee having primary responsibility for matters relating to human capital management)."

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board Terms of Reference

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Included in the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter: "Environmental and Social Matters. The Committee will periodically review, and provide oversight with respect to, the Company's strategy, initiatives, policies and risks concerning environmental and social matters (with the Organization and Compensation Committee having primary responsibility for matters relating to human capital management)."

Biodiversity

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board Terms of Reference

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Included in the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter: "Environmental and Social Matters. The Committee will periodically review, and provide oversight with respect to, the Company's strategy, initiatives, policies and risks concerning environmental and social matters (with the Organization and Compensation Committee having primary responsibility for matters relating to human capital management)."
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Not assessed
[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☑ Environmental, Social, Governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement
☑ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements

Policies, commitments, and targets
☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning
☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
☑ Developing a climate transition plan
☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Our Vice President of Investor Relations & Risk Management leads our ESG initiatives. Our cross-functional ESG Project team helps to integrate ESG throughout our operations.

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:
☑ Half-yearly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Cooper Board of Directors oversees the company's ESG Strategy and receives updates periodically (at least once) per year, regularly reporting to the full board on any such matters handled by the committee. Our Vice President of Investor Relations & Risk Management leads ESG initiatives, supported by a cross-functional ESG Project team led by the Senior Director of Corporate Responsibility. This team meets frequently to drive ESG action plans and address emerging issues. The Executive Leadership team also receives regular ESG updates.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☑ Environmental, Social, Governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements

☑ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☑ Developing a climate transition plan

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Our Vice President of Investor Relations & Risk Management leads our ESG initiatives. Our cross-functional ESG Project team helps to integrate ESG throughout our operations.

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Cooper Board of Directors oversees the company's ESG Strategy and receives updates periodically (at least once) per year, regularly reporting to the full board on any such matters handled by the committee. Our Vice President of Investor Relations & Risk Management leads ESG initiatives, supported by a cross-functional ESG Project team led by the Senior Director of Corporate Responsibility. This team meets frequently to drive ESG action plans and address emerging issues. The Executive Leadership team also receives regular ESG updates.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☑ Environmental, Social, Governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning
☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
☑ Developing a climate transition plan
☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Our Vice President of Investor Relations & Risk Management leads our ESG initiatives. Our cross-functional ESG Project team helps to integrate ESG throughout our operations.

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:
☑ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Cooper Board of Directors oversees the company's ESG Strategy and receives updates periodically (at least once) per year, regularly reporting to the full board on any such matters handled by the committee. Our Vice President of Investor Relations & Risk Management leads ESG initiatives, supported by a cross-functional ESG Project team led by the Senior Director of Corporate Responsibility. This team meets frequently to drive ESG action plans and address emerging issues. The Executive Leadership team also receives regular ESG updates.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	Please explain
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	*We don't provide monetary incentives for environmental issues.*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	*We don't provide monetary incentives for environmental issues.*

[Fixed row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Selected facilities, businesses or geographies only

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Each of our major divisions, CooperVision and CooperSurgical, has separate Environmental, Health, Safety, and ESG policies that cover climate, water, and waste. These policies are internal and not publicly available at this time.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

☑ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

☑ Other environmental commitment, please specify :Driving down our energy, carbon, waste, and plastic impacts to support our net zero goals

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Not publicly available

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☑ UN Global Compact

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

Participant

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Not assessed

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

We do not yet have environmental commitments or a transition plan.
[Fixed row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Governance

☑ Strategy

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Other, please specify :Employee Engagement

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Governance: Page 4 Emissions Figures: Page 21 Strategy: Pages 14-16 Employee Engagement: Page 16

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

COO-2024-Sustainability-Report-compressed.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

CooperCompanies' 2024 Corporate Sustainability Report

Row 3

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Public policy engagement

☑ Dependencies & Impacts

☑ Risks & Opportunities

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Double Materiality Matrix on Page 8

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Cooper-Double-Materiality-v4_9.26.2024.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

2024 Double Materiality Assessment Report. The Assessment found the following 3 topics material to COO: 1) Operational Environmental Performance, which included Energy Management, Waste Management and Environmental Policy/ Compliance; 2) GHG Emissions; and 3) Plastics Management.

Row 4

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Governance

☑ Public policy engagement

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Strategy

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Page 27

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

COO 2024 10-K.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

2024 CooperCompanies Annual Report (Form 10-K filed with U.S. SEC): Under captions - "We face risks related to [ESG] matters. We and our facilities are subject to a broad range of [legislation], including those governing discharges to the air and water... Environmental, social and corporate governance issues, including those related to climate change and sustainability, may have an adverse effect on our business and damage our reputation."

[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☑ First time carrying out analysis

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Insufficient data

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We recognize that while water is currently abundant, it is important to our operations. To date, we have not conducted scenario analysis specifically related to water risks or opportunities.
[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP1

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

☑ Market

☑ Reputation

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2022

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer sentiment

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

RCP 2.6 (Low Emissions Scenario): Assumptions: This scenario assumes that significant global efforts are made to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, resulting in a peak in emissions around 2020, followed by rapid reductions. We assume that international climate agreements and aggressive regulatory frameworks will drive decarbonization. Technological advancements in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and carbon capture are assumed to be widespread, allowing for meaningful reductions in both operational and supply chain emissions. Consumer sentiment will strongly favor sustainability, leading to increased demand for low-carbon products and pressure on companies to innovate in sustainable operations. Uncertainties: The uncertainty lies in the political and economic feasibility of maintaining such rapid decarbonization, especially in regions where climate policies may face opposition. The pace of technological innovation is another uncertainty. If breakthroughs in low-carbon technologies occur slower than expected, it may delay the reductions needed to stay on the RCP 2.6 pathway. Although extreme

weather events are expected to be less severe, localized climate impacts, such as droughts or extreme temperatures, remain unpredictable. Constraints: Implementing necessary adaptation measures in line with this scenario may be constrained by the need to rapidly reconfigure energy systems and supply chains to keep pace with the transition to a low-carbon economy.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 2.6 (Low Emissions Scenario) - Rationale: We include RCP 2.6 in our scenario analysis because it represents a future where strong global action is taken to limit climate change, aligning with international climate goals such as those set by the Paris Agreement.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 4.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP2

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical
☑ Chronic physical
☑ Market
☑ Reputation

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:
☑ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2022

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply
☑ 2030
☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts
☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Stakeholder and customer demands
☑ Consumer sentiment

Regulators, legal and policy regimes
☑ Global regulation

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

RCP 4.5 (Intermediate Emissions Scenario): Assumptions: RCP 4.5 assumes a more moderate approach to climate action, with emissions peaking around 2040. This pathway expects a balance between mitigation and adaptation efforts, with significant reductions in fossil fuel use and increased reliance on renewable energy. Governments are assumed to implement moderate climate policies, including carbon pricing and incentives for renewable energy adoption. Consumer demand for sustainability is expected to be high, but not universally consistent. Technological innovations will continue, but not at the accelerated pace of RCP 2.6. Uncertainties: There is uncertainty around the regional impacts of climate change, as some areas may experience more intense acute risks (e.g., extreme precipitation, temperature spikes), while others may see more gradual changes. The regulatory environment may vary greatly between regions, creating a patchwork of climate policies that complicates business operations and investment decisions. Market responses to sustainability could fluctuate depending on local economic conditions and political environments, affecting long-term planning. Constraints: Adapting to this scenario may require more frequent investments in resilience strategies, as the risk of acute climate events like flooding, extreme temperatures, and drought will be higher than in RCP 2.6.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 4.5 (Intermediate Emissions Scenario) - Rationale: We choose RCP 4.5 for our scenario analysis because it offers a balanced view of the future, where moderate policy interventions and technological advancements slow the growth of emissions but do not eliminate them entirely. This scenario reflects a realistic, middle-of-the-road pathway where global efforts to mitigate climate change are uneven across regions and sectors. By analyzing RCP 4.5, we can assess the potential risks and opportunities in a world where climate impacts are significant but manageable, and where gradual shifts in regulations, consumer demand, and technological innovation shape the business environment. This scenario helps us to identify necessary adaptation measures while also allowing us to remain agile in responding to moderate regulatory changes and market shifts.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

☑ Market

☑ Reputation

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2022

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Stakeholder and customer demands

☑ Consumer sentiment

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

RCP 8.5 (High Emissions Scenario): Assumptions: This scenario assumes minimal progress in reducing global emissions, with greenhouse gas concentrations continuing to rise throughout the century. Emissions are driven by fossil fuel reliance, and climate policies are fragmented or ineffective. We assume that acute climate risks, such as extreme precipitation, heatwaves, windstorms, and droughts, will become more frequent and intense by 2030 and 2050. Chronic risks, such as sea level rise, will also escalate. Consumer sentiment will vary widely, but regulatory pressure may lag in many regions, slowing the adoption of low-carbon technologies and adaptation measures. Uncertainties: The unpredictability of extreme climate events in this scenario introduces substantial uncertainty, particularly regarding the frequency and intensity of hurricanes, floods, and other disasters that could disrupt operations. There is significant uncertainty about global and regional regulatory responses, as some regions may implement reactive, rather than proactive, climate policies, which could result in uneven preparedness and increased costs. Market reactions may be erratic, with some sectors facing sudden regulatory changes or increased insurance premiums due to heightened physical risks. Constraints: In this scenario, financial resources could be stretched thin, as adaptation costs are expected to increase sharply in response to more frequent and severe climate-related events. This could constrain the company's ability to invest in long-term resilience measures. Physical infrastructure constraints in vulnerable regions may limit the feasibility of fully protecting all assets, leading to difficult decisions regarding facility upgrades, relocations, or insurance strategies. Global supply chains will likely experience disruptions, making operational continuity more difficult to maintain across multiple locations.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 8.5 (High Emissions Scenario) - Rationale: We include RCP 8.5 in our scenario analysis because it represents a worst-case, business-as-usual scenario, where global efforts to mitigate emissions fail, leading to high levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. This scenario helps us prepare for the most severe impacts of climate change, including extreme weather events, sea level rise, and other physical risks that could disrupt operations and supply chains. By considering RCP 8.5, we ensure that our business is prepared for the most extreme outcomes, even if they are less likely, and that we can build resilience into our infrastructure and risk management strategies. Additionally, this scenario highlights the importance of identifying critical vulnerabilities and potential areas for investment to mitigate severe risks in the future.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Facility

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Not disclosed at this time.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Working on it.

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

We do not currently have a formal climate transition plan in place, as we are in the process of developing one. We are working to integrate comprehensive climate risk assessments and mitigation strategies into our broader business operations over the coming years. This plan will align with our sustainability goals, regulatory requirements, and emerging best practices in climate action. Our efforts are focused on ensuring that the plan is robust, actionable, and reflective of the evolving climate landscape.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Refer to risk identified in 3.1.1 under "Upstream/Downstream Value Chain and Climate Change is Changing Customer Behavior." There is a risk of losing customer confidence and brand reputation if CooperCompanies fails to provide clear and comprehensive information about the lifecycle, sourcing, and environmental footprint of products. Reprioritizing the environmental and social impact of the products that we manufacture is the way in which strategy has been affected by the risk identified.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The risks identified in 3.1.1 under "Direct Operations and Climate Change" are drought, changing temperature, and cyclone, hurricane, typhoon. Facilities within our direct operations in Puerto Rico, United Kingdom, Costa Rica, Spain, and others have heightened risk in this category. Strategy has been affected in direct operations by an increased attention on these key locations. We maintain idle capacity in adjacent facilities, and we have fortified relevant facilities to withstand extreme weather conditions.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Direct costs

☑ Capital expenditures

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Environmental risks and opportunities have had an impact on strategy, particularly in the area of operations. We recognize that operational efficiency and sustainability are key to reducing our environmental footprint and improving resilience in the face of climate-related risk.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Water expense is not material to our business, and we don't expect related expenses to change. Source: 2024 Double Materiality Assessment (published on corporate website)
[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Internal carbon pricing is being considered as part of a larger decarbonization strategy that is under development.

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

At present, we do not have an internal price on carbon or price environmental externalities. This is due to our ongoing evaluation of a broader decarbonization strategy, which includes the potential adoption of internal carbon pricing mechanisms. As part of this larger plan, we are carefully considering how best to integrate carbon pricing into our financial and operational decision-making processes to ensure that it effectively aligns with our sustainability goals and regulatory requirements. While we recognize the importance of pricing environmental externalities, we want to ensure that any approach we adopt is comprehensive and tailored to the specific challenges and opportunities within our operations. Our focus has been on implementing immediate, high-impact sustainability measures, and internal carbon pricing will be considered once our decarbonization framework is fully developed.
[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water ☑ Plastics
Customers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water ☑ Plastics
Investors and shareholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Plastics
Other value chain stakeholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
		☑ Water ☑ Plastics

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years
Water	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years
Plastics	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Business risk mitigation

☑ Material sourcing

☑ Procurement spend

☑ Regulatory compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We prioritize suppliers for engagement on environmental issues using key criteria to ensure the most significant impact.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We currently do not engage extensively with our suppliers on water-related issues.

Plastics

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Business risk mitigation

☑ Material sourcing

☑ Procurement spend

☑ Regulatory compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We prioritize suppliers for engagement on plastic-related environmental issues using the following key criteria: Supplier Spend: We prioritize high-spend suppliers, as they represent a significant portion of our value chain and have greater potential to influence plastic usage and waste reduction. Plastic Usage and Waste Generation: Suppliers that contribute significantly to our plastic consumption or generate large amounts of plastic waste are prioritized for engagement. These suppliers play a critical role in helping us reduce plastic usage and increase circularity. Regulatory Compliance: Suppliers operating in regions with strict plastic waste regulations are prioritized to ensure compliance and alignment with evolving legislation, helping us mitigate regulatory risks. Business Risk Mitigation: Suppliers that pose risks due to plastic-related regulatory or reputational concerns are engaged to minimize business disruptions.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?

	Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process	Comment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years	*Covered under procurement policies at the divisional level.*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years	*n/a*

[Fixed row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We actively engage with several key Tier 1 suppliers on climate change, focusing on collaborative efforts to reduce emissions across our supply chain. Our approach includes working closely with these suppliers to identify opportunities for innovation in their operations.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Unknown

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ No other supplier engagement

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

Plastics

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Removal of plastic from the environment

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Collaborate with suppliers to develop reuse infrastructure and reuse models

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We actively engage with several key Tier 1 suppliers on plastics, collaborating to reduce plastic usage, increase recyclability, and explore innovative solutions for plastic reduction. Our approach involves working closely with these suppliers to identify opportunities for material substitution, design for circularity, and the reduction of virgin plastic content in packaging and products.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Unknown

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization’s goals to support customers’ targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Rationale for Engaging Key Customers: Our engagement with key customers, whose emissions can account for a significant portion of their total footprint, is driven by our commitment to supporting their sustainability and decarbonization goals. By sharing relevant emissions data, we help these customers gain better visibility into their Scope 3 emissions and make informed decisions regarding their environmental impact. As sustainability increasingly becomes a priority for our customers, aligning our decarbonization efforts with theirs allows us to build stronger partnerships, enhance mutual environmental performance, and support long-term value creation. Scope of Engagement: Our engagement scope includes sharing detailed emissions data and collaborating with key customers to identify areas where we can reduce our carbon footprint in alignment with their sustainability objectives. We are actively exploring decarbonization strategies, including aligning timelines and reduction targets to ensure that our efforts contribute meaningfully to their emissions reduction goals. This collaborative approach fosters transparency, accountability, and shared responsibility for addressing climate-related risks.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Effects of the Engagement: The engagement with key customers on emissions has fostered stronger collaboration, increased transparency, and greater alignment in decarbonization efforts. By sharing emissions data, customers have gained more accurate insights into their Scope 3 emissions, allowing them to make more informed sustainability decisions. This engagement has also led to the identification of emissions reduction opportunities, such as optimizing energy use, exploring low-carbon materials.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Operations

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

The engagement with our facility teams on water, particularly in potentially water-stressed areas, was driven by our commitment to better understanding and managing our water footprint.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

The water footprint screening project has enhanced our understanding of water consumption across key facilities. Through this engagement, facility teams have gained better insights into their water usage patterns and have begun identifying opportunities for improved water management.
[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We have chosen the operational control approach for consolidating our environmental performance data because it allows us to focus on the operations where we have direct authority to implement and enforce sustainability initiatives. This approach ensures that our reporting accurately reflects the areas where we can effectively manage environmental impacts, providing a clear and consistent framework for tracking progress and making informed decisions to reduce our environmental footprint. It also enhances transparency and accountability by covering all aspects of our operations that we directly manage.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We have chosen the operational control approach for consolidating our environmental performance data because it allows us to focus on the operations where we have direct authority to implement and enforce sustainability initiatives. This approach ensures that our reporting accurately reflects the areas where we can effectively manage environmental impacts, providing a clear and consistent framework for tracking progress and making informed decisions to reduce our environmental footprint. It also enhances transparency and accountability by covering all aspects of our operations that we directly manage.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We have chosen the operational control approach for consolidating our environmental performance data because it allows us to focus on the operations where we have direct authority to implement and enforce sustainability initiatives. This approach ensures that our reporting accurately reflects the areas where we can effectively manage environmental impacts, providing a clear and consistent framework for tracking progress and making informed decisions to reduce our environmental footprint. It also enhances transparency and accountability by covering all aspects of our operations that we directly manage.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We have chosen the operational control approach for consolidating our environmental performance data because it allows us to focus on the operations where we have direct authority to implement and enforce sustainability initiatives. This approach ensures that our reporting accurately reflects the areas where we can effectively manage environmental impacts, providing a clear and consistent framework for tracking progress and making informed decisions to reduce our environmental footprint. It also enhances transparency and accountability by covering all aspects of our operations that we directly manage.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	*Select from:* ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	*We track and report both location and market based emissions*

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the UAE

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

☑ Emissions are relevant but not yet calculated

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

CooperCompanies operates in UAE but emissions are not included yet. The location in the United Arab Emirates is an office space where business does not occur.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

The location in the United Arab Emirates is an office space where business does not occur. The square footage is 2,405. Even with estimated energy use with the EIA's electricity consumption intensity factors for an office space and an appropriate emissions factor, the total emissions from this space would be de minimis. Further, the rent is paid without access to utility data.

Row 2

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Scope 3 categories not relevant to us.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Upstream leased assets

☑ Scope 3: Processing of sold products
☑ Scope 3: Downstream leased assets
☑ Scope 3: Franchises
☑ Scope 3: Investments

(7.4.1.6) Relevance of Scope 3 emissions from this source

Select from:
☑ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.9) Estimated percentage of total Scope 3 emissions this excluded source represents

0

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

After thoroughly reviewing the relevant data for the referenced Scope 3 categories, we have determined that they are either not applicable to our operations or contribute only a de minimis amount to our overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Specifically, these categories include: Category 8: Upstream Leased Assets Category 10: Processing of Sold Products Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets Category 14: Franchises Category 15: Investments In these categories, our activities either do not generate significant emissions, or the emissions associated with these activities are minimal and not material to our overall emissions profile. As a result, we have focused our resources on accurately measuring and managing the more significant categories of our Scope 3 emissions, as outlined in our previous responses.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Since these categories are not relevant to us based on the explanation in the column to the left, there are no emissions included for them.
[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29716

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 1 emissions, we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. Scope 1 emissions include direct emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by our organization, such as fuel combustion in company-owned vehicles or on-site facilities. We calculate these emissions using activity data, such as the amount of fuel consumed, and apply the appropriate emission factors. This method ensures that our Scope 1 emissions are accurately quantified and consistently reported.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

53706

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For our Scope 2 emissions, using the location-based method, we adhere to the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. The location-based method accounts for indirect emissions from the consumption of purchased electricity, heating, cooling, and steam, based on the average emissions intensity of the grids where our operations occur. This method allows us to measure the impact of our energy use on a regional or national level, reflecting the grid's overall emissions profile.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40222

(7.5.3) Methodological details

When reporting our Scope 2 emissions using the market-based method, we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. The market-based method accounts for indirect emissions based on the specific electricity contracts or instruments our organization has purchased, such as renewable energy certificates (RECs) or green tariffs. This approach allows us to reflect our choice of energy sources in our emissions reporting, highlighting our commitment to using low-carbon or renewable energy options.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

358946

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 1 (Purchased Goods and Services), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we use a spend-based approach, which involves collecting data on the financial spend associated with the goods and services we purchase. This spend data is then multiplied by industry-specific emission factors provided by recognized databases or sources aligned with the GHG Protocol. This methodology allows us to estimate the upstream emissions associated with our procurement activities, providing a comprehensive view of the carbon impact embedded in the goods and services we purchase. By adhering to the GHG Protocol and using a spend-based approach, we ensure that our Scope 3, Category 1 emissions are calculated consistently and accurately.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

41852

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 2 (Capital Goods), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. We use a spend-based approach to estimate these emissions, which involves collecting data on the financial expenditure associated with the purchase of capital goods. This spend data is then multiplied by appropriate emission factors sourced from industry-standard databases, ensuring alignment with the GHG Protocol. This methodology allows us to estimate the upstream emissions embedded in the production and transportation of capital goods we acquire, reflecting the carbon impact of our investments in infrastructure, equipment, and other long-term assets. By using a spend-based approach and adhering to the GHG Protocol, we ensure that our Scope 3, Category 2 emissions are consistently and accurately reported.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11714

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 3 (Fuel- and Energy-Related Activities Not Included in Scope 1 or 2), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we use data on our Scope 1 and Scope 2 fuel and energy consumption by fuel type, location, scope, and building type. We consider both primary data (direct measurements) and estimated data, depending on availability. This comprehensive approach allows us to capture the upstream emissions associated with the extraction, production, and transportation of fuels and energy that are consumed in our operations but not directly covered under Scope 1 or 2. By utilizing detailed consumption data and adhering to the GHG Protocol, we ensure that our Scope 3, Category 3 emissions are calculated with accuracy and consistency.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

96521

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 4 (Upstream Transportation and Distribution), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we use data on the distance and mass per shipment by mode of transportation, consolidated using total mass and average distance per route. When distance data was unavailable, we applied spend amounts by mode of transportation to estimate the associated emissions. This methodology allows us to accurately capture the carbon footprint of transporting goods and materials upstream in our supply chain, reflecting the impact of various transportation modes, including air, sea, rail, and road. By utilizing specific shipment data and applying a spend-based approach when necessary, and adhering to the GHG Protocol, we ensure that our Scope 3, Category 4 emissions are calculated with precision and consistency.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23157

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 5 (Waste Generated in Operations), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we use data on the mass of waste generated by waste type and disposal method. Where mass data was unavailable, we applied spend amounts related to waste management to estimate the associated emissions. This approach enables us to capture the carbon footprint of waste generated across our operations, considering the impact of different types of waste and their respective disposal methods, such as recycling, landfilling, or incineration. By using detailed waste mass data and applying a spend-based method when necessary, and adhering to the GHG Protocol, we ensure that our Scope 3, Category 5 emissions are calculated accurately and consistently.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8334

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 6 (Business Travel), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we use spend amounts by type of business travel, including air travel, train travel, car rentals, mileage reimbursement, and hotel stays. These spend data are then matched with appropriate emission factors to calculate the associated emissions. This methodology allows us to capture the carbon footprint of our business travel activities across various modes of transportation and accommodations. By utilizing spend data categorized by travel type and applying relevant emission factors, we ensure that our Scope 3, Category 6 emissions are accurately and consistently calculated in line with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23889

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 7 (Employee Commuting), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we used two key data sources: An employee commuting survey, which collected information on commuting distance, number of working days, work-from-home days, facility location, and mode of transportation. A report showing the total number of employees. This approach allows us to accurately assess the carbon footprint associated with our employees' daily commuting activities. By combining survey data on commuting habits with the total employee count, we can calculate the emissions generated from various commuting methods and work arrangements. This methodology ensures that our Scope 3, Category 7 emissions are calculated accurately and consistently in line with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

After thoroughly reviewing the relevant data for certain Scope 3 categories, we have determined that they are either not applicable to our operations or contribute only a de minimis amount to our overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Specifically, these categories include: Category 8: Upstream Leased Assets Category 10: Processing of Sold Products Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets Category 14: Franchises Category 15: Investments In these categories, our activities either do not generate significant emissions, or the emissions associated with these activities are minimal and not material to our overall emissions profile. As a result, we have focused our resources on accurately measuring and managing the more significant categories of our Scope 3 emissions, as outlined in our previous responses.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25523

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 9 (Downstream Transportation and Distribution), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we use data on the distance and mass per shipment by mode of transportation, consolidated using total mass and average distance per route. Where distance data was unavailable, we applied spend amounts by mode of transportation to estimate the associated emissions. This methodology allows us to capture the carbon footprint of transporting goods downstream in our value chain, reflecting the impact of various transportation modes, including air, sea, rail, and road. By utilizing specific shipment data and applying a spend-based approach when necessary, and adhering to the GHG Protocol, we ensure that our Scope 3, Category 9 emissions are calculated with accuracy and consistency.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

After thoroughly reviewing the relevant data for certain Scope 3 categories, we have determined that they are either not applicable to our operations or contribute only a de minimis amount to our overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Specifically, these categories include: Category 8: Upstream Leased Assets Category 10: Processing of Sold Products Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets Category 14: Franchises Category 15: Investments In these categories, our activities either do not generate significant emissions, or the emissions associated with these activities are minimal and not material to our overall emissions profile. As a result, we have focused our resources on accurately measuring and managing the more significant categories of our Scope 3 emissions, as outlined in our previous responses.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25262

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 11 (Use of Sold Products), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we used data on electricity consumption, units sold, annual usage, and product lifetime per product category. This data is specific to our CooperSurgical (CSI) products. This approach allows us to accurately assess the carbon footprint associated with the energy consumption of our products during their use phase. By considering the electricity consumption of each product category, along with the number of units sold, their expected annual usage, and product lifetime, we can calculate the emissions generated over the lifecycle of our products. This methodology ensures that our Scope 3, Category 11 emissions are calculated accurately and consistently, providing a comprehensive view of the environmental impact of our sold products in line with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3174

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For reporting our Scope 3 emissions in Category 12 (End-of-Life Treatment of Sold Products), we follow the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol guidelines. To estimate these emissions, we used data from two main sources: For CooperSurgical (CSI) products, we used the mass of products sold per product type. For CooperVision (CVI) products, we combined the mass of the product type and its packaging with sales data. This approach allows us to accurately assess the carbon footprint associated with the disposal and end-of-life treatment of our products and their packaging. By considering the mass of the products and their packaging along with the sales data, we can estimate the emissions generated from the waste treatment processes, such as recycling, incineration, or landfilling. This methodology ensures that our Scope 3, Category 12 emissions are calculated with accuracy and consistency, providing a comprehensive view of the environmental impact of our products at the end of their lifecycle in line with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

After thoroughly reviewing the relevant data for certain Scope 3 categories, we have determined that they are either not applicable to our operations or contribute only a de minimis amount to our overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Specifically, these categories include: Category 8: Upstream Leased Assets Category 10: Processing of Sold Products Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets Category 14: Franchises Category 15: Investments In these categories, our activities either do not generate significant emissions, or the emissions associated with these activities are minimal and not material to our overall emissions profile. As a result, we have focused our resources on accurately measuring and managing the more significant categories of our Scope 3 emissions, as outlined in our previous responses.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

After thoroughly reviewing the relevant data for certain Scope 3 categories, we have determined that they are either not applicable to our operations or contribute only a de minimis amount to our overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Specifically, these categories include: Category 8: Upstream Leased Assets Category 10: Processing of Sold Products Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets Category 14: Franchises Category 15: Investments In these categories, our activities either do not generate significant emissions, or the emissions associated with these activities are minimal and not material to our overall emissions profile. As a result, we have focused our resources on accurately measuring and managing the more significant categories of our Scope 3 emissions, as outlined in our previous responses.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

After thoroughly reviewing the relevant data for certain Scope 3 categories, we have determined that they are either not applicable to our operations or contribute only a de minimis amount to our overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Specifically, these categories include: Category 8: Upstream Leased Assets Category 10: Processing of Sold Products Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets Category 14: Franchises Category 15: Investments In these categories, our activities either do not generate significant emissions, or the emissions associated with these activities are minimal and not material to our overall emissions profile. As a result, we have focused our resources on accurately measuring and managing the more significant categories of our Scope 3 emissions, as outlined in our previous responses.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

58155

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 stationary emissions were calculated using US EPA emission factors selected based on qualitative characteristics of activity data. Where primary data was unavailable, natural gas emissions were estimated using emissions factors from the EIA's CBECS survey, and facility attributes. Emissions from generators and mobile sources were calculated using consumption data by fuel type and US EPA emissions factors. Climate impacts were assessed using 100-year Global Warming Potentials (GWPs), converting non-CO_2 emissions into CO_2-equivalents (CO_2e) according to IPCC Ar5.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

58490

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2023

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 stationary emissions were calculated using US EPA emission factors selected based on qualitative characteristics of activity data. Where primary data was unavailable, natural gas emissions were estimated using emissions factors from the EIA's CBECS survey, and facility attributes. Emissions from generators and mobile sources were calculated using consumption data by fuel type and US EPA emissions factors. Climate impacts were assessed using 100-year Global Warming Potentials (GWPs), converting non-CO_2 emissions into CO_2-equivalents (CO_2e) according to IPCC Ar5.

Past year 2

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29716

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 stationary emissions were calculated using US EPA emission factors selected based on qualitative characteristics of activity data. Where primary data was unavailable, natural gas emissions were estimated using emissions factors from the EIA's CBECS survey, and facility attributes. Emissions from generators and mobile sources were calculated using consumption data by fuel type and US EPA emissions factors. Climate impacts were assessed using 100-year Global Warming Potentials (GWPs), converting non-CO_2 emissions into CO_2-equivalents (CO_2e) according to IPCC Ar5.
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30032

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15691

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Location-based emissions were calculated using US EPA or IEA emissions factors and consumption data. Market-based emissions were calculated using supplier-specific factors or RECs (with an emission factor of 0 for RECs). When primary data was unavailable, facility attributes and emission factors from the EIA's CBEC survey were used to estimate consumption of electricity. Climate impacts were assessed using 100-year Global Warming Potentials (GWPs), converting non-CO_2 emissions into CO_2-equivalents (CO_2e) according to IPCC Ar5.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

28486

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12617

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2023

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Location-based emissions were calculated using US EPA or IEA emissions factors and consumption data. Market-based emissions were calculated using supplier-specific factors or RECs (with an emission factor of 0 for RECs). When primary data was unavailable, facility attributes and emission factors from the EIA's CBEC

survey were used to estimate consumption of electricity. Climate impacts were assessed using 100-year Global Warming Potentials (GWPs), converting non-CO_2 emissions into CO_2-equivalents (CO_2e) according to IPCC Ar5.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

53706

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40222

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Location-based emissions were calculated using US EPA or IEA emissions factors and consumption data. Market-based emissions were calculated using supplier-specific factors or RECs (with an emission factor of 0 for RECs). When primary data was unavailable, facility attributes and emission factors from the EIA's CBEC survey were used to estimate consumption of electricity. Climate impacts were assessed using 100-year Global Warming Potentials (GWPs), converting non-CO_2 emissions into CO_2-equivalents (CO_2e) according to IPCC Ar5.
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We expect to publish next year.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We expect to publish next year.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We expect to publish next year.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We expect to publish next year.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We expect to publish next year.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to

maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We expect to publish next year.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We expect to publish next year.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not material to our business.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We expect to publish next year.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not material to our business.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We fully expect to publish next year.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

CooperCompanies will not be publishing 2023 Scope 3 estimates to the CDP this year due to the complexities of operationalizing manual data collection efforts across our value chain. These complexities, compounded by the involvement of multiple ERP systems in the data collection process, have challenged our ability to maintain year-over-year consistency while ensuring the highest level of accuracy for 2023 data and beyond. We are committed to prioritizing robust methodologies and repeatable processes to provide consistent, accurate reporting moving forward. We fully expect to publish next year.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not material to our business.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not material to our business.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not material to our business.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ No emissions data provided

[Fixed row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1926

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

2

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Our comprehensive review identified improvements in energy consumption efficiency yielding a decrease in emissions while at the same time increasing our product output.
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:
☑ Location-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

47

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

243

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

243

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

415

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

247

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

115

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

22

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

57

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

115

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

69

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

29

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

404

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

404

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

316

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

63

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

63

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

48

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

48

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

52

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

264

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

264

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

451

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4774

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4774

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

83

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

83

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

137

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

286

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

286

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

96

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

96

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

147

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

761

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

761

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

33

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

33

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

34

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

34

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

399

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

682

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

682

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

Puerto Rico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

51345

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1367

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1367

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

94

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

94

Russian Federation

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

168

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

168

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

56

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

56

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

161

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

161

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

45

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

123

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

123

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

32

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

152

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

152

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

United Arab Emirates

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2660

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12741

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

266

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1694

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6896

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5311
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☑ By business division

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	*CSI (CooperSurgical)*	*1558*
Row 2	*CVI (CooperVision)*	*56538*
Row 3	*CC (CooperCompanies HQ)*	*59*

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*CSI (CooperSurgical)*	*5035*	*5035*
Row 2	*CVI (CooperVision)*	*24935*	*10594*
Row 3	*CC (CooperCcompanies HQ)*	*62*	*62*

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

58155

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30032

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15691

(7.22.4) Please explain

Our response does not include any entities outside of the consolidated accounting group, so the values are our gross global scope 1 and 2 emissions.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

Our response does not include any entities outside of the consolidated accounting group.
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

CooperVision (CVI)

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Medical equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

56538

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24935

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10594

(7.23.1.15) Comment

We are unable to provide subsidiary-level unique identifiers at this time.

Row 2

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

CooperSurgical (CSI)

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Medical equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1558

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5035

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5035

(7.23.1.15) Comment

We are unable to provide subsidiary-level unique identifiers at this time.
[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☑ Customer base is too large and diverse to accurately track emissions to the customer level

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Enhance our systems with the ability to track customers through a diverse and complex supply chain.
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.28.3) Primary reason for no plans to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(7.28.4) Explain why you do not plan to develop capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers

Not an immediate strategic priority.
[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☑ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

308078

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

308078.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

80156245

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

90146776

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

170303021.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

0.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

80156245

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

90454854

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

170611099.00
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

2788

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

CooperCompanies tracks fuel consumption as a total figure without disaggregating by operational use (with the exception of CHP fuel consumption for self-cogeneration in Puerto Rico). Gasoline, diesel, and lubricant consumption values have been combined for CooperCompanies' total consumption of oil. While CHP occurs, granular data on self-generated energy is not available, so "0" was entered for columns 4 & 5. Without this data, the "heat" row in 7.30.9 cannot be completed.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

305290

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

104292

(7.30.7.8) Comment

While CooperCompanies tracks fuel consumption as a total figure without disaggregating by operational use, our Juana Diaz site in Puerto Rico utilizes a combined heat and power (CHP) system, so we track natural gas (LNG) consumption specifically for self-cogeneration. LNG and LPG consumption values have been combined for CooperCompanies' total consumption of gas. While CHP occurs, granular data on self-generated energy is not available, so "0" was entered for columns 4 & 5. Without this data, the "heat" row in 7.30.9 cannot be completed.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

308078

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

104292

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

104292

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

104292

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Biomass, Wind, and Hydropower

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

63891

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ REGO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

RECs purchased through utility provider green energy contracts.

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Specific mix is unknown at this time. The source of 2024 RECs won't be known until they retire in 2026.

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

14489

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ US-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

RECs purchased through utility provider green energy contracts.
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

356

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

356.00

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1497

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1497.00

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

241

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

241.00

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

967

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

967.00

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

70

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

70.00

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

653

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

653.00

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

81

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

81.00

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

34910

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

34910.00

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7.00

Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

504

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

504.00

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

449

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

449.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

843

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

843.00

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

46

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

46.00

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

21606

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

21606.00

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

120

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

120.00

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

617

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

617.00

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

361

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

361.00

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1599

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1599.00

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

51

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

51.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

85

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

85.00

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2251

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2251.00

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6.00

Puerto Rico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1946

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

104292

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

106238.00

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

201

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

201.00

Russian Federation

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

467

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

467.00

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

145

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

145.00

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

173

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

173.00

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

805

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

805.00

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

164

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

164.00

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

278

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

278.00

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17.00

United Arab Emirates

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

65254

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

65254.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

33530

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

33530.00
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.000023

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

88187

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:
☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

3895400000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:
☑ Location-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

8

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply
☑ Other emissions reduction activities

(7.45.9) Please explain

The 8% reduction in intensity from 2023 to 2024 is attributable to a combination of increased revenue and increased focus on energy efficiency and more efficient machinery.
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :KG of plastic prevented from entering oceans through Plastic Neutral program

(7.52.2) Metric value

3522752

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Kg

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

23

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Through our Plastic Neutral program, in partnership with Plastic Bank, we prevented over 3 million kg of plastic waste from entering the ocean in 2024. By offsetting our plastic footprint, we helped reduce marine pollution while supporting local livelihoods.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ No target

(7.53.3) Explain why you did not have an emissions target, and forecast how your emissions will change over the next five years.

(7.53.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

☑ Important but not an immediate business priority

(7.53.3.2) Five-year forecast

We expect our emissions to stay stable.

(7.53.3.3) Please explain

We do not have an emissions target in place, as we are evaluating and planning to follow the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi) protocol. While we may anticipate setting formal emissions targets in the future, we are taking significant steps to reduce our emissions across our operations. Over the next five years, we project a significant increase in the number of products produced, which will naturally drive up our energy needs. We expect to see continued benefits from our Combined Heat and Power (CHP) plant in Puerto Rico, improved energy and operational efficiency, as well as our continued procurement of renewable energy at our main facilities in New York, the UK, and Belgium. We expect these initiatives to help us lower our carbon footprint while supporting business growth.
[Fixed row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ No other climate-related targets

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	*11*	*`Numeric input*
To be implemented	*1*	*28.3*
Implementation commenced	*0*	*0*
Implemented	*0*	*0*
Not to be implemented	*0*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Company policy or behavioral change
☑ Supplier engagement

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

0

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods & services

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ 3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

No actively implemented initiatives in 2024, only in planning phases.
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:
☑ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Capital investments, CHP

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:
☑ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Purchase of RECs, onsite solar.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:
☑ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

LED lighting,
[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?

Select from:
☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Office locations and de minimis consumption locations.

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Only significant water-consuming facilities and locations were considered.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:
☑ Water used for internal WASH services

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:
☑ 1-5%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

Process water is used primarily in CooperVision and only at manufacturing facilities. Other locations and facilities are primarily related to WASH activities.

[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and/or meters to get consumption and factor in a 10% loss to get total withdrawals.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water is not material to our business. As a result, our water is sourced and measured from the municipal systems within the communities we operate.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and/or meters to get consumption.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water is not material to our business. As a result, our water is sourced and measured from the municipal systems within the communities we operate.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

This aspect is not material to our business.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We meter the water that is discharged through our internal control systems.

(9.2.4) Please explain

There is nothing in our processes that contaminate water, so we are able to return utilized water to the municipal systems.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

This aspect is not material to our business.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

This aspect is not material to our business.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

This aspect is not material to our business.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

This aspect is not material to our business.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

This aspect is not material to our business.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility Bills / Meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

There is nothing in our processes that contaminate water, so we are able to return utilized water to the municipal systems.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

This aspect is not material to our business.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We have WASH services but the water is not monitored or metered.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Our business processes affecting water have not been altered.

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :We don't anticipate our business processes affecting our withdrawals of water.

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Our approach to water management focuses on ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and monitoring our water usage to maintain operational efficiency. We have not identified significant water risks that would necessitate specific water-related targets at this stage.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Our business processes affecting water have not been altered.

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :We don't anticipate our business processes affecting our discharges of water.

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Our approach to water management focuses on ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and monitoring our water usage to maintain operational efficiency. We have not identified significant water risks that would necessitate specific water-related targets at this stage.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Our business processes affecting water have not been altered.

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :We don't anticipate our business processes affecting our consumption of water.

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Our approach to water management focuses on ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and monitoring our water usage to maintain operational efficiency. We have not identified significant water risks that would necessitate specific water-related targets at this stage.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

	Withdrawals are from areas with water stress	Identification tool	Please explain
	Select from: ☑ No	*Select all that apply* ☑ WRI Aqueduct	*We do not have operations in water stressed areas.*

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

	Relevance	Please explain
Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes	*Select from:* ☑ Not relevant	*Water is not material to our organization.*
Brackish surface water/Seawater	*Select from:* ☑ Not relevant	*Water is not material to our organization.*
Groundwater – renewable	*Select from:* ☑ Not relevant	*Water is not material to our organization.*
Groundwater – non-renewable	*Select from:* ☑ Not relevant	*Water is not material to our organization.*
Produced/Entrained water	*Select from:* ☑ Not relevant	*Water is not material to our organization.*
Third party sources	*Select from:* ☑ Not relevant	*Water is not material to our organization.*

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

	Identification of facilities in the value chain stage	Please explain
Direct operations	*Select from:*	*Water is not material to our organization.*

	Identification of facilities in the value chain stage	Please explain
	☑ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, but we are planning to do so in the next 2 years	
Upstream value chain	*Select from:* ☑ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, but we are planning to do so in the next 2 years	*Water is not material to our organization.*

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☑ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue (currency)	Anticipated forward trend
	3895400000	*Our water usage is not anticipated to change.*

[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

(9.13.1) Products contain hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(9.13.2) Comment

Our products are regulated medical devices that undergo rigorous testing and quality controls to ensure they meet all applicable safety and regulatory standards.
[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

☑ Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.14.4) Please explain

At this time, we do not have sufficient information to classify any of our current products or services as low water impact. While we are committed to reducing water consumption throughout our operations and value chain, we are still in the process of gathering and analyzing data on the water footprint of our products and services. As we continue to refine our understanding and conduct further assessments, we aim to evaluate and identify opportunities for reducing water impact across our product portfolio.
[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

☑ Judged to be unimportant, explanation provided

(9.15.3.2) Please explain

At this time, we do not have any formal water-related targets in place and currently do not have a plan to develop them. Our approach to water management focuses on ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and monitoring our water usage to maintain operational efficiency. Water is not a critical resource for our operations. We have not identified significant water risks that would necessitate specific water-related targets at this stage. We continue to evaluate our water usage through monitoring and assessments, and if future operational or environmental conditions indicate a need for setting formal targets, we will reassess our strategy to address any emerging water-related risks or opportunities.
[Fixed row]

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

(10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(10.1.3) Please explain

While we currently do not have publicly disclosed targets related to plastic reduction, our company is committed to minimizing our environmental impact and enhancing circularity in our products through intentional design. Through our offsetting efforts we have mitigated over 10 million kg of plastic.
[Fixed row]

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

We produce monomers and copolymers used in contact lenses but not plastic polymers.

Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

We obtain durable plastics from our suppliers

Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

We use durable plastics in medical devices

Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

We use plastic packaging we get from our suppliers.

Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Medical devices are packaged in plastic packaging.

Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Products produced and sold in our fertility business use plastic packaging.

Provision of waste management and/or water management services

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

We don't provide services related to the management of waste.

Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

We do not provide financial products or services for plastic-related activities.

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

n/a
[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: ☑ No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*N/A*
UNESCO World Heritage sites	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*N/A*
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*N/A*
Ramsar sites	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*N/A*
Key Biodiversity Areas	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*N/A*
Other areas important for biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*N/A*

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

(13.1.1) Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years

(13.1.2) Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party

Select from:

☑ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(13.1.3) Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party

At present, we are not conducting third-party assurance on the data used in our CDP submissions. However, we recognize the importance of ensuring data accuracy and reliability, and we are committed to implementing formal data assurance processes in the coming years. Our goal is to enhance the transparency and credibility of our environmental reporting, and we are working towards establishing a robust assurance framework that will support this. As part of our longer-term strategy, we are evaluating potential third-party assurance providers and developing the internal processes necessary to support comprehensive data verification. Once these processes are in place, we will ensure that our data meets the highest standards of accuracy and reliability for future CDP submissions.
[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☑ Environment/Sustainability manager

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

☑ No